arXiv:1609.05812v1 [math.RA] 16 Sep 2016

WEDDERBURN-MALCEV DECOMPOSITION OF ONE-SIDED IDEALS OF FINITE DIMENSIONAL ALGEBRAS

A.A. BARANOV, A. MUDROV, AND H.M. SHLAKA

Abstract. Let A be a finite dimensional associative algebra over a perfect field and let R be the radical of A. We show that for every one-sided ideal I of A there is a semisimple subalgebra S of A such that $I = I_S \oplus I_R$ where $I_S = I \cap S$ and $I_R = I \cap R$.

Wedderburn-Malcev Principal Theorem is one of the landmarks in the theory of associative algebras [1].

Theorem 1 (Wedderburn, Malcev)**.** *Let A be a finite dimensional associative algebra over a perfect field and let R be the radical of A. Then there is a semisimple subalgebra S of A such that $A = S \oplus R$. Moreover, if Q is a semisimple subalgebra of A then there is $r \in R$ such that $Q \subseteq (1+r)S(1+r)^{-1}$. In particular, S is unique up to conjugation by units of the form $1 + r$, where $r \in R$.*

In this note we show that for every one-sided ideal I of A there exists a Wedderburn-Malcev decomposition $S \oplus R$ of A which *splits* I, i.e. $I = I_S \oplus I_R$, where $I_S = I \cap S$ and $I_R = I \cap R$.

Throughout this paper A is an associative left Artinian ring (or a finite dimensional algebra). We denote by R its radical. If V is a subset of A we denote by $\overline{V}$ its image in $\overline{A} = A/R$. Let I be a left ideal of A and let Q be a left ideal of $\overline{A}$. We say that I is *Q-minimal* if $\overline{I} = Q$ and for every left ideal J of A with $J \subseteq I$ and $\overline{J} = Q$ one has $J = I$.

It is well known that every non-nilpotent left ideal of a left Artinian ring contains an idempotent, see for example [2, Theorem 24.2]. We need a bit more precise version of this fact.

Theorem 2. *Let A be a left Artinian associative ring and let I be a left ideal of A. Suppose that I is $\overline{I}$-minimal. Then there is an idempotent $e \in I$ such that $I = Ae$.*

Proof. We can assume that $\overline{I}$ is non-zero (otherwise $I = 0$ is generated by the idempotent 0). Since $\overline{A}$ is semisimple, there is a non-zero idempotent $f \in \overline{I}$ such that $\overline{I} = \overline{A}f$. Fix any $x \in I$ such that $\overline{x} = f$. Note that $x^2 \neq 0$ because $\overline{x^2} = (\overline{x})^2 = f^2 = f \neq 0$. Consider the map $\varphi_x : I \to I$ defined by $\varphi_x(y) = yx$ for all $y \in I$. Then φ_x is an endomorphism of the left A-module I. Note that the image $J = \varphi_x(I) = Ix$ is a left ideal of A. We have

$$\overline{J} = \overline{Ix} = \overline{I}\,\overline{x} = (\overline{A}f)f = \overline{A}f = \overline{I}.$$

Since $J \subseteq I$ and I is $\overline{I}$-minimal, we get $I = J = Ix$. Thus, φ_x is surjective. Since I is an Artinian module, φ_x is an automorphism. Hence, there is an element $e \in I$ such

that $x = \varphi_x(e) = ex$. We have

$$\varphi_x\left(e^2\right) = e^2x = e\left(ex\right) = ex = \varphi_x(e),$$

so

$$\varphi_x\left(e^2 - e\right) = \varphi_x\left(e^2\right) - \varphi_x(e) = 0$$

Since φ_x is injective, $e^2 - e = 0$, so e is an idempotent. As $\overline{e} \in \overline{I} = \overline{A}f$, we have $\overline{e} = \overline{a}f$ for some $\bar{a} \in \bar{A}$. Thus, $\overline{e}f = \overline{a}ff = \overline{a}f = \overline{e}$. On the other hand, we have $ex = x$, so $\overline{e}f = \overline{ex} = \overline{x} = f$. Hence $\overline{e} = f = \bar{x}$. Therefore,

$$\overline{Ae} = \overline{A}\overline{e} = \overline{A}f = \overline{I}.$$

Since $Ae \subseteq I$ is a left ideal of A with $\overline{Ae} = \overline{I}$ and I is $\overline{I}$-minimal, we must have $I = Ae$, as required. □

Corollary 3. *Let A be a left Artinian ring and let I be a minimal non-nilpotent left ideal of A. Then $I = Ae$ for some idempotent $e \in I$.*

Proof. Since I is non-nilpotent, $I \not\subseteq R$, so $\overline{I}$ is a non-zero left ideal of $\bar{A}$. Let J be a left ideal of A such that $J \subseteq I$ and $\overline{J} = \overline{I}$. Then J is non-nilpotent (because $\overline{J} = \overline{I}$ is non-nilpotent). Since I is minimal non-nilpotent, $J = I$. This implies that I is $\overline{I}$-minimal. Therefore, by Theorem 2, there is an idempotent $e \in I$ such that $I = Ae$. □

We are now ready to prove our main result.

Theorem 4. *Let A be a finite dimensional algebra over a perfect field F and let I be a left ideal of A. Then there exists a semisimple subalgebra S of A such that $A = S \oplus R$ and $I = I_S \oplus I_R$, where $I_S = I \cap S$ and $I_R = I \cap R$.*

Proof. If I is nilpotent, then $I \subseteq R$, so $I = I_R$ as required. Suppose that I is non-nilpotent. Then $\overline{I}$ is a non-zero left ideal of $\overline{A}$. Let J be a minimal left ideal of A such that $J \subseteq I$ and $\overline{J} = \overline{I}$. Then by Theorem 2, $J = Ae$ for some idempotent $e \in J$. By Wedderburn-Malcev Theorem, there is a semisimple subalgebra S of A such that $e \in S$ and $A = S \oplus R$. We have

$$J = Ae = (S \oplus R)\, e = Se \oplus Re = J_S \oplus J_R$$

where $J_S = Se$ and $J_R = Re$. Note that $J_S = J \cap S$ (because $e \in S$) and $J_R = J \cap R$. Put $I_S = I \cap S$ and $I_R = I \cap R$. Then $J_S \subseteq I_S$ and

$$\bar{J}_S \subseteq \bar{I}_S \subseteq \bar{I} = \bar{J} = \bar{J}_S.$$

This implies $I/I_R \cong \bar{I} = \bar{I}_S \cong I_S$, so $I = I_S \oplus I_R$, as required. □

References

[1] R.S. Pierce, Associative Algebras. New York Heidelberg Berlin: Springer 1982

[2] C.W. Curtis, I. Reiner, Representation theory of finite groups and associative algebras. Interscience, New York, 1962.

Department of Mathematics, University of Leicester, Leicester, LE1 7RH, UK
E-mail address: ab155@le.ac.uk

Department of Mathematics, University of Leicester, Leicester, LE1 7RH, UK
E-mail address: am405@le.ac.uk

Department of Mathematics, University of Leicester, Leicester, LE1 7RH, UK; University of Kufa, Al-Najaf, Iraq
E-mail address: hmass2@le.ac.uk